

Isotactic-b-Syndiotactic Stereoblock Poly(methyl methacrylate) by Chiral Metallocene/Lewis Acid Hybrid Catalysts

Andrew D. Bolig and Eugene Y.-X. Chen*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-1872

Received February 2, 2002

One of the growing interests in polymer synthesis has been to develop catalyst systems for producing stereoblock polymers from a single vinyl monomer. Successes on this front include the synthesis of isotactic-*b*-atactic thermoplastic elastomeric polypropylene by bridged¹ or nonbridged² group 4 metallocene catalysts. Although controlled homopolymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) by lanthanocene³ and metallocene⁴ initiators, discovered by Yasuda and Collins et al., respectively, has been well documented, synthesis of stereoblock poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) with industrially important group 4 metallocene catalysts/initiators still remains a challenge.⁵ We communicate here the synthesis of isotactic-*b*-syndiotactic stereoblock PMMA (**A**) using *ansa*-metallocene *rac*-(EBI)ZrMe₂ (**1**, EBI = Et(Ind)₂)⁶ or *rac*-(SBI)ZrMe₂ (**2**, SBI = Me₂Si(Ind)₂)⁷ and strong Lewis acid M(C₆F₅)₃ (M = B⁸ or Al⁹) hybrid catalysts.



The observation that well-controlled polymerizations of MMA by *rac*-1 and -2 produce highly isotactic PMMA when activated with the borane, but low syndiotactic PMMA when activated with the alane (entries 1-4, Table 1), suggested a unique catalyst system to possibly construct stereoblock PMMA microstructures. Indeed, a sequential stereoblock polymerization procedure outlined in Scheme 1 has successfully produced the stereoblock PMMA.

The first portion of MMA was polymerized at 23 °C with *rac*-(EBI)ZrMe⁺MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻, generated cleanly by in situ mixing *rac*-1 and the borane, to an isotactic block via isospecific cationic zirconocene enolate propagating species **B**.⁴ Next, *rac*-(EBI)ZrMe⁺-MeAl(C₆F₅)₃⁻ was added to convert the orange red **B** to yellow neutral methyl zirconocene enolate **C** and adduct MMA·Al(C₆F₅)₃. The reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C and a second portion of MMA then added to construct a syndiotactic block via syndiospecific enoaluminate propagating species **D**.^{4a} The stereoblock polymerization is highly efficient and a quantitative polymer yield is obtained in <2 h of total polymerization time (entry 5). The stereoblock polymerization with the SBI analogue behaves similarly (entry 6).

Evidence that the materials obtained are real stereoblock polymers and not simply mixtures of homopolymers derives from several lines. First, the polymer has unimodality, narrow molecular weigh distribution, and a single T_g value of 87 °C. This T_g value lies somewhat between that of typical isotactic and syndiotactic PMMAs.



Second, methyl triad distributions (tacticity) from the ¹H NMR reveal high stereoregularity of both isotactic and syndiotactic blocks with [mm]/[rr]/[mr] = 46.4/45.7/7.9 (entry 5). Consistent with this ratio, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the C=O pentad region (Figure 1) features a pentad distribution of [mmmm] = 42.8, [rrrr] = 39.6, [mmmr] = 0.9, [rrrm] = 7.4, [mmrr] + [rrmr] = 8.5, [mrrm] = 0.8, and no detectable mmrm, rmrm, and rmmr pentad peaks. These spectroscopic characteristics are in agreement with the microstructures of the stereoblock polymer depicted in Scheme 1, where the isotactic block is produced via an enantiomorphic-site controlled mechanism, whereas the syndiotactic block is produced by a chainend controlled mechanism.

Third, solubility test and solvent fractionation studies confirm the uniformity of the stereoblock polymers obtained from entries 5 and 6. While both isotactic and syndiotactic PMMAs are soluble in boiling 2-propanol, the stereoblock polymers are not. After extracting the bulk polymer with boiling 2-propanol for >12 h, only a trace amount of materials is extracted, and the tacticity of the polymer remains unchanged before and after the extraction.

Finally, model reactions shown in Scheme 2 have provided critical support for the reaction sequence in the stereoblock polymerization proposed in Scheme 1. Thus, neutral zirconocene enolate \mathbf{E}^{10} is first converted to the corresponding cationic zirconocene enolate \mathbf{F} with the borane, where the enolate moiety and THF are used to simulate the growing polymer chain and the coordinated MMA monomer in the polymerization, respectively. Next, zirconocenium aluminate *rac*-(SBI)ZrMe⁺ MeAl(C₆F₅)₃⁻ is added, and the reaction leads to formation of \mathbf{E} , *rac*-(SBI)ZrMe⁺

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: eychen@lamar.colostate.edu.

Table 1. MMA Polymerization Results and Polymer Properties by Metallocene/Lewis Acid Hybrid Catalysts^a $t_{\rm p}$ (h) initiator T_p (°C) yield (%) $10^4 M_{\rm p}^{b}$ M.IM.^b *T*g^c (°C) [rr]^d (%) [mr]^d (%) entry $[mm]^{d}$ (%) 23 54.9 1 rac-(EBI)ZrMe₂/B 2 100 2.97 1.18 1.2 2.8 96.0 2 rac-(SBI)ZrMe₂/B 23 2 2.84 1.13 54.7 3.1 6.5 80 90.4 rac-(EBI)ZrMe2/Al 3 23 2 100 1.77 110.3 60.2 1.23 35.8 4.04 rac-(SBI)ZrMe₂/Al 23 2 85 2.88 1.19 110.5 60.6 35.1 4.3 5 (i) rac-(EBI)ZrMe+(MeB)-23 0.75 100 5.43 1.61 86.9 45.7 7.9 46.4 (ii) rac-(EBI)ZrMe⁺(MeAl)⁻ -78 6 (i) rac-(SBI)ZrMe⁺(MeB)⁻ 23 0.75 100 5.38 1.45 9.9 86.6 47.143.0 (ii) rac-(SBI)ZrMe⁺(MeAl) -781 7 23 $Cp_2Zr(Me)O(O^iPr)C = CMe_2$ 2 0 $Cp_2Zr(Me)O(O^iPr)C = CMe_2/2Al$ 23 0.08 68.9 8 100 28.3 2.7 9 $Cp_2Zr(Me)O(O^iPr)C = CMe_2/2Al$ -781.75 98 77.7 20.8 1.5

^{*a*} Polymerization conditions: 46.7 μ mol initiator (I); mole ratio [MMA]/[I] = 200; 10 mL of toluene; B = B(C₆F₅)₃; Al = Al(C₆F₅)₃. ^{*b*} Determined by GPC relative to PMMA (entries 1–4) or polystyrene (entries 5 and 6) standards. ^{*c*} Determined by DSC. ^{*d*} Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.



Figure 1. ¹³C NMR spectrum of an isotactic-PMMA-*b*-syndiotactic-PMMA sample (entry 5): pentad distributions in the C=O region.

Scheme 2



MeB(C₆F₅)₃[−], and THF → Al(C₆F₅)₃. Although the neutral zirconocene enolate **E** by itself has no polymerization activity, the polymerizations via monomer activation with the alane are highly active at both 23 and −78 °C, producing syndiotactic PMMA (entries 7−9). It is worth noting that zirconocene dimethyls exhibit no activity in the alane-activated MMA polymerization at −78 °C, suggesting that the second step of the stereoblock polymerization must involve the transfer of the polymeric enolate moiety to an activated monomer, rather than a reinitiation via methyl transfer to an activated monomer, a process that would produce a mixture of homopolymers.

In summary, we have developed an efficient stereoblock polymerization system based on group 4 metallocene and group 13 Lewis acid hybrid catalysts capable of switching stereospecificity of the MMA polymerization for production of highly stereoregular isotactic-*b*-syndiotactic stereoblock PMMA. Efforts are underway to construct multi-stereoblock polar vinyl polymers using a similar catalyst system.

Acknowledgment. We thank Colorado State University and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the

American Chemical Society, for financial support. We also thank Prof. Scott Collins of the University of Akron for helpful discussions and Xiaoli Zhang and Prof. Jian Xu of Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences for the GPC analysis of some polymer samples.

Supporting Information Available: Experiment details and block copolymerization procedures (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Dietrich, U.; Hackmann, M.; Rieger, B.; Klinga, M.; Leskela, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 4348–4355. (b) Gauthier, W. J.; Collins, S. Macromolecules **1995**, 28, 3779–3786. (c) Gauthier, W. J.; Corrigan, J. F.; Taylor, N. J.; Collins, S. Macromolecules **1995**, 28, 3771–3778. (d) Llinas, G. H.; Dong, S.-H.; Mallin, D. T.; Rausch, M. D.; Lin, G.-Y.; Winter, H. H.; Chien, J. C. W. Macromolecules **1992**, 25, 1242–1253.
 (e) Mallin, D. T.; Rausch, M. D.; Lin, Y.-G.; Dong, S.; Chien, J. C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 2030–2031.
- (2) (a) Lin, S.; Tagge, C. D.; Waymouth, R. M.; Nele, M.; Collins, S.; Pinto, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11275–11285. (b) Dreier, T.; Erker, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Wibbeling, B. Organometallics 2000, 19, 4095–4103. (c) Bruce, M. D.; Coates, G. W.; Hauptman, E.; Waymouth, R. M.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11174–11182. (d) Hauptman, E.; Waymouth, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11586–11587. (e) Coates, G. W.; Waymouth, R. M. Science 1995, 267, 217–219.
- (3) (a) Yasuda, H. J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 2001, 39, 1955–1959. (b) Nodono, M.; Tokimitsu, T.; Tone, S.; Makino, T.; Yanagase, A. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2000, 201, 2282–2288. (c) Qian, C.; Nie, W.; Sun, J. Organometallics 2000, 19, 4134–4140. (d) Giardello, M. A.; Yamamoto, Y.; Brard, L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3276–3277. (e) Boffa, L. S.; Novak, B. M. Macromolecules 1994, 27, 6993–6995. (f) Yasuda, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Yamashita, M.; Yokota, K.; Nakamura, A.; Miyake, S.; Kai, Y.; Kanehisa, N. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 7134–7143. (g) Yasuda, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Yokota, K.; Miyake, S.; Nakamura, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4908–4909.
- 26, 7134-7143. (g) Yasuda, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Yokota, K.; Miyake, S.; Nakamura, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4908-4909.
 (4) (a) Bolig, A. D.; Chen, E. Y.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7943-7944. (b) Frauenrath, H.; Keul, H.; Höcker, H. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 14-19. (c) Bandermann, F.; Ferenz, M.; Sustmann, R.; Sicking, W. Macromol. Symp. 2001, 174, 247-253. (d) Cameron, P. A.; Gibson, V.; Graham, A. J. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 4329-4335. (e) Nguyen, H.; Jarvis, A. P.; Lesley, M. J. G.; Kelly, W. M.; Reddy, S. S.; Taylor, N. J.; Collins, S. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 1508-1510. (f) Li, Y.; Ward, D. G.; Reddy, S. S.; Collins, S. Macromolecules 1997, 30, 1875-1883. (g) Deng, H.; Shiono, T.; Soga, K. Macromolecules 1995, 28, 3067-3073. (h) Collins, S.; Ward, D. G.; Suddaby, K. H. Macromolecules 1994, 27, 7222-7224. (i) Collins, S.; Ward, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5460-5462
- (5) Hatada and co-workers have reported the synthesis of stereoblock PMMA by sequential polymerization using anionic polymerization techniques: Kitayama, T.; Fujimoto, N.; Yanagida, T.; Hatada, K. *Polym. Int.* **1994**, *33*, 165–170.
- (6) (a) Bochmann, M.; Lancaster, S. J. *Organometallics* 1993, *12*, 633–640.
 (b) Wild, F. R. W. P.; Wasiucionek, M.; Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H.-H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1985, 288, 63–67.
- (7) (a) Christopher, J. N.; Diamond, G. M.; Jordan, R. F.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4038–4044. (b) Bochmann, M.; Lancaster, S. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Malik, K. M. A. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2235–2243.
- Massey, A. G.; Park, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 245–250.
 Biagini, P.; Lugli, G.; Abis, L.; Andreussi, P. U.S. Patent 5,602,269, 1997.
- (9) Biagini, P.; Lugii, G.; Abis, L.; Andreussi, P. U.S. Patent 5,602,269, 1997.
 (10) Among a few methyl zirconocene alkylisobutyrates investigated, isopropyl and ethyl isobutyrates produce clean products upon reacting with the borane and alane.

JA025796B